

THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO, ex §
rel. HECTOR BALDERAS, §
ATTORNEY GENERAL, §

 §
Plaintiff, §

 §
v. §

 §
STERIGENICS U.S., LLC, SOTERA §
HEALTH HOLDINGS, LLC, §
SOTERA HEALTH LLC, and §
SOTERA HEALTH COMPANY, §

 §
Defendants. §

Hon. Marci Beyer

THIS MATTER having come before the Court upon defendants Sterigenics U.S., LLC (“Sterigenics”), Sotera Health Holdings, LLC, Sotera Health LLC, and Sotera Health Company’s (collectively “Sotera Defendants,” and with Sterigenics, “Defendants”) Motion to Dismiss Under Rule 1-012(B)(6) for failure to state a claim (“Rule 1-012(B)(6) Motion”) and the Sotera Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss Under 1-012(B)(2) for lack of personal jurisdiction (“Personal Jurisdiction Motion”), and the Court being fully advised of the issues and arguments presented therein, as well as the arguments presented by the parties during the October 28, 2021 motion hearing, the Court rules as follows:

- ED 013075 00002651-00001

2. Defendants' Rule 1-012(B)(6) Motion seeks dismissal of Plaintiff's request for public health monitoring remedies. *See, e.g.,* Complaint, ¶¶ 177, 201. The Court finds Plaintiff's request for "an order requiring Defendants to fund a public health monitoring program designed to detect, assess, and treat medical disorders associated with EtO exposure, under State supervision" and "to abate the public nuisance" is adequately pleaded.
3. Defendants' Rule 1-012(B)(6) Motion seeks dismissal of Plaintiff's statutory and common law public nuisance claims (Counts I and II) for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The Court finds Plaintiff's statutory and common law public nuisance claims adequately pleaded.
4. Defendants' Rule 1-012(B)(6) Motion seeks dismissal of Plaintiff's strict liability claim (Count III) for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The Court finds Plaintiff's strict liability claim adequately pleaded.
5. Defendants' Rule 1-012(B)(6) Motion seeks dismissal of Plaintiff's claim for negligence (Count IV) for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The Court finds Plaintiff's negligence claim adequately pleaded.
6. Defendants' Rule 1-012(B)(6) Motion seeks dismissal of Plaintiff's claim under the Unfair Practices Act ("UPA") (Count VI). The Court finds Plaintiff's UPA claim adequately pleaded.

For these reasons, as well as the reasons set forth during the October 28, 2021 hearing, Defendants' Rule 1-012(B)(6) Motion is **DENIED**.

Defendants shall answer the Complaint no later than 30 days from entry of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: _____

Marci Beyer, District Judge

Prepared by:

/s/ Jacqueline Ortiz

P. Cholla Khoury

Jacqueline Ortiz

Assistant Attorneys General

New Mexico Office of the Attorney General

P.O. Drawer 1508

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

By special commission and pro hac vice:

Kyle J. McGee

Viola Vetter

Jason H. Wilson

GRANT & EISENHOFER P.A.

123 Justison Street

Wilmington, Delaware 19801

By special commission:

Marcus J. Rael, Jr.

ROBLES, RAEL & ANAYA, P.C.

500 Marquette Avenue NW, Suite 700

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

Attorneys for Plaintiff the State of New Mexico

Approved as to form:

/s/

Alex C. Walker

Tiffany L. Roach Martin

Jeremy K. Harrison

MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK, P.A.

Post Office Box 2168

500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 1000

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103-2168

W. Clay Massey *admitted pro hac vice

Daniel F. Diffley *admitted pro hac vice

Sara M. Warren *admitted pro hac vice

ALSTON & BIRD LLP

1201 West Peachtree Street

Atlanta, GA 30309

Attorneys for Sterigenics U.S., LLC

[PAGE * MERGEFORMAT]